

Office of the Attorney General State of Texas

DAN MORALES

October 2, 1998

Ms. Joni M. Vollman Assistant General Counsel Harris County District Attorney 201 Fannin, Suite 200 Houston, Texas 77002-1901d

OR98-2359

Dear Ms. Vollman:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Texas Open Records Act (the "act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 118365.

The Harris County District Attorney's Office (the "district attorney") received a request for all information pertaining to the prosecution of John Cuevas in cause number 785430. In response to the request, you submit to this office for review a representative sample of the information which you assert is responsive. You claim that the requested information is excepted from required public disclosure based on sections 552.101, 552.103, and 552.108 of the Government Code. We have considered the arguments and exceptions you raise and reviewed the submitted information.

Section 552.103(a) excepts from disclosure information:

- (1) relating to litigation of a civil or criminal nature or settlement negotiations, to which the state or a political subdivision is or may be a party or to which an officer or employee of the state or a political subdivision, as a consequence of the person's office or employment, is or may be a party; and
 - (2) that the attorney general or the attorney of the political

¹We assume that the "representative sample" of records submitted to this office is truly representative of the requested records as a whole. See Open Records Decision Nos. 499 (1988), 497 (1988) This open records letter does not reach, and therefore does not authorize the withholding of, any other requested records to the extent that those records contain substantially different types of information than that submitted to this office.

subdivision, as a consequence of the person's office or employment, is or may be a party; and

(2) that the attorney general or the attorney of the political subdivision has determined should be withheld from public inspection.

Additionally, section 552.103(b) provides that the state or a political subdivision is considered to be a party to litigation of a criminal nature until the defendant has exhausted all post-conviction remedies in state and federal court.

The governmental body has the burden of providing relevant facts and documents to show that the section 552.103(a) exception is applicable in a particular situation. The test for meeting this burden is a showing that (1) litigation is pending or reasonably anticipated, and (2) the information at issue is related to that litigation. Heard v. Houston Post Co., 684 S.W.2d 210, 212 (Tex. App.--Houston [1st Dist.] 1984, writ ref'd n.r.e.); Open Records Decision No. 551 at 4(1990). Therefore, the governmental body must meet both prongs of this test for information to be excepted under 552.103(a).

You inform us that the "district attorney has made the requisite determination that the materials . . . enclosed . . . should be withheld" since "this case is in the very early stages of prosecution." In addition, you have submitted an affidavit, from the assistant district attorney prosecuting this case, in support of your claimed exception. After reviewing your arguments and the submitted material, we find that litigation is pending. We also conclude that the documents you have submitted relate to the pending litigation and may be withheld.

Generally, however, once information has been obtained by all parties to the litigation through discovery or otherwise, no section 552.103(a) interest exists with respect to that information. Open Records Decision Nos. 349 (1982), 320 (1982). Thus, information that has either been obtained from or provided to the criminal defendant or his attorneys in the litigation is not excepted from disclosure under section 552.103(a), and it must be disclosed. We also note that the applicability of section 552.103(a) ends once the litigation has been concluded. Attorney General Opinion MW-575 (1982); Open Records Decision No. 350 (1982).

We are resolving this matter with an informal letter ruling rather than with a published open records decision.² This ruling is limited to the particular records at issue under the facts presented to us in this request and should not be relied on as a previous

²As we resolve this matter under section 552.103, we need not address the other exceptions you have raised. We caution, however, that some of the information may be confidential by law. Therefore, if the district attorney receives a request in the future, at a time when litigation is no longer reasonably anticipated or pending, the district attorney should seek a ruling from this office on the other exceptions raised before releasing any of the requested information. See Gov't Code § 552.352 (distribution of confidential information may constitute criminal offense).

determination regarding any other records. If you have any questions regarding this ruling, please contact our office.

Yours very truly,

Sam_Haddad

Assistant Attorney General Open Records Division

Haddad

SH/mjc

Ref.: ID# 118365

Enclosures: Submitted documents

cc: Mr. Kirk William Cox

Kirk William Cox & Associates

6115 Chaffin

Houston, Texas 77087

(w/o enclosures)